

FAYETTEVILLE OBSERVER.

A. H. BERRY, Editor.
N. O. WALLACE, Editor.
Office—At the Old Stand; Sign of the
"Observer Printing Office."

FAYETTEVILLE, TENN.
THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1852

From our Extra of Saturday, 5th inst.

GLORIOUS!

Most Glorious!!!

PIERCE ELECTED!

The following comprise the latest election news received, by which it will be seen, the indications are that Gen. PIERCE is overwhelmingly elected. All hail! to the intelligence and patriotism of the American people! The Republic is safe for four years to come!!!

The Result.

The Nashville True Whig of the 5th inst., says: "We shall not attempt, just now, to enter into an elaborate discussion of the cause or causes of the disastrous result of the late canvass. Enough, for the present, that we are beaten—badly—overwhelmingly."

NEW ORLEANS, Nov. 4.
Louisiana gone for democrats—majority in the city about 200.

LOUISVILLE, Nov. 3.
Maryland probably democratic by 3,500.

New Jersey has gone democratic by a large majority.

Enough returns received at Pittsburgh, to show that Pennsylvania has gone 15,000 majority for Pierce.

Maryland—All the State heard from except two counties. Pierce's majority will be over 5,000.

South Carolina cast her vote for Pierce and King, and repudiated the Compromise.

Vermont has gone for Scott.

PHILADELPHIA, Nov. 2.
Democratic majority 2,000.—Pennsylvania, probably for Pierce by a large majority. Philadelphia city and county, 1,000 democratic; Massachussetts 73 towns shows 6,000 gain for Pierce over Taylor vote.—Rhode Island probably democratic by 600 maj.

Maryland, Pierce's maj. 5,000.
Maine, Pierce's majority 12,000; Massachusetts, Scott plurality 5,000.
Nothing from North Carolina, the line being down beyond Petersburg Va.

Massachusetts—the vote in Boston gives Scott 4,472, Pierce 4,996, Hale 144, Webster 1,071, scattering 38.

SPRINGFIELD, Ill., Nov. 3.
Partial returns in Iowa, show the State probably gone for Pierce.

Majority for Pierce in New Hampshire large; one fourth of the State, democratic gain 2,200 since March.

Connecticut sure for Pierce, 3,000 plurality.

Maryland—Pierce's maj. 3,000.
Maine—Pierce's maj. 12,000.
Massachussetts—Scott's plurality, 5,000.

Indiana—Private dispatches state Pierce's maj. in the State to be 20,000.

Delaware—Pierce's maj. 70.

New York—A telegraphic message just received says "the returns baffle the arithmetic"—so overwhelming is the democratic triumph.

Intelligence from Louisville gives Kentucky to Pierce; the whigs conceding it.

North Carolina—Returns from several counties, indicate gains for Pierce over last gubernatorial election, when the democrats carried the State by over 5,000.

The result in New York baffles the arithmetic. Pierce's majority in the city, upwards of 10,000. Same from every quarter. Clean sweep.

Partial returns from Louisiana indicate that the State has gone for Pierce.

Ohio—A despatch from Ohio states that Pierce and King's majority will be about Cass' majority in 1848, which was 16,415.

WASHINGTON CITY, Nov. 4.
Gen. Scott attributes his defeat, 1st, To N. Y. Herald; 2d, Defection of Webster; lastly, To lukewarmness of Fillmore and his friends.

Enough has been received to place the election of Pierce and King by an overwhelming majority beyond doubt.

The returns indicate glorious triumphs in every State of the Union, except Vermont, Massachusetts and perhaps Kentucky, Tennessee and Delaware.

CINCINNATI, Nov. 4.

Ohio, 15,000 for Pierce. All Eastern States gone for Pierce, except Vermont and Massachusetts. North Carolina, Pierce gains on Reed. All Western States north of the Ohio and Mississippi, gone for Pierce.

NEW ORLEANS, Nov. 4.
Further returns from Louisiana and Mississippi, still favorable to Pierce. Whigs bear their defeat good humoredly, notwithstanding losses from betting. Returns from Georgia favorable to Pierce.

LOUISVILLE, Nov. 4.
Maine—129 towns show a whig gain of 2300. Pierce's majority 6000.

LOUISVILLE, Nov. 5.
Kentucky will give her vote for Scott by a small majority; not, it is believed, exceeding 2000.

Tennessee—Gains and Losses.

The following are the gains and losses, reported and official, as far as heard from, in Tennessee:

Democratic Gains.

Rutherford, 61
Williamson, 173
Robertson, 34
Maury, 141
Wilson, 2
Smith, 408
Franklin, 16
Madison, 67
Payette, 47
Marshall, 135
Hardin, 36
Henry, 101
Lincoln, 11
DeKalb, 54
Bledsoe, 5
Monroe, 13
Tipton, 119
Knox, 211
Bloom, 246
Grundy, 283
Lewis, 100

Whig Gains.

Davidson, 77
Sumner, 346
Montgomery, 56
Lawrence, 49
Shelby, 123
Wayne, 40
Hickman, 168
Carroll, 69
Cannon, 137
Warren, 7
Dickson, 95
Bedford, 9
Hardenman, 25
McNairy, 78
Tipton, 61
Marion, 31
Weakley, 236
Stewart, 16
Bradley, 2
Macon, 243
Gibson, 94
Benton, 42
Wayne, 40
White, 33
Humphreys, 29
McMinn, 68
Coffee, 172

REMARKABLE FEAT OF AN ENGINE-MAN.—A Paris correspondent of the Washington Republic relates the following occurrence as having taken place on the French Northern Railroad. It is an example of the advantage that sometimes arises from meeting opposition with a bold front.

"The passengers upon the Northern Railroad narrowly escaped destruction some days ago. A large cart laden down by the weight of an enormous block of stone, had become fastened in among the rails, and the efforts of the three horses to disengage it were perfectly unavailing.

The whistles of the express train was heard in the distance. The wagoner, determined to save his horses at least, cut the reins and harness and made off. The engineer saw the obstacle, reversed the steam, and gave the signal for the brakes. But the engine, which was a Crampton, refused to obey, and the machinist saw the utter impossibility of stopping it in time, he put on the steam again, and drove the train with full force upon the terrible obstacle. The wagon was shivered to atoms, and the stone sent flying in splinters for rods in all directions. The train was not thrown off the track, and the passengers were unharmed of any shock. They did not hear of the danger they had run till they stopped at the next station. The engine was battered, but its vitality was not decreased. The engineer, whose coolness and decision saved the passengers, is a Pole, and will be the object of some tribute of gratitude from the company."

DEATH AND THE PRESIDENCY.—Only two of the eminent men who have been voted for, during the last thirty years, for President, are now alive.—Van Buren and Cass. Crawford, Wirt, Jackson, Adams, Harrison, Calhoun, Polk, Clay, Taylor and Webster, are now no more. Van Buren and Tyler are the only living ex-Presidents.

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term, I neither mean Presbyterians, Methodists, nor Campbellites—no denomination, but that refractory party which withdrew from the old Baptists, and raised the cry of heresy against the people with whom they so long associated. Now why did they do this? The truth was unpopular, and they wished to leave; and then to make the people generally believe that we had left, they cry heresy, heresy. From the above, the reader will readily admit the propriety of my application. In a number of your paper (Oct. the 21st), I proposed a question to your religious readers, to which I at least attach some importance, but have received no answer as yet. The question was not designed for controversy, but that the youths of our beloved country might have the benefit of the investigation of their experienced predecessors on a question of such vital interest. I will now propound another, to both of which I hope to obtain answers for the reasons above assigned. All the hopes and expectations of suffering, sinful, sinning mortals in this life, of a glorious immortality in the next, are based upon the consideration of the life, death, and resurrection of Christ; and to this proposition we have the divine testimony, Romans 5 and 6: "For when we were yet without strength, in due time Christ died for the ungodly." Upon this declaration, the good news that the gospel brings, in which the righteousness of God is revealed, rests for support. This declaration enables the ministers of every name to proclaim to the ends of the earth, salvation to a lost, guilty, and dying world of mankind, and point to them the method of God's redemption, through Christ, His son. The gospel, then, reveals the righteousness of God in the complete salvation of those guilty culprits doomed to die, and through the death of Christ and His sufferings, they are raised to immortal joys at the right hand of God. Now, Messrs. Editors, the question comes up with no little force to my mind: How can God be just, and inflict the punishment due to sin on the innocent Jesus, and let the guilty sinner go free? To this little short interrogatory, I hope some of my friends will respond, and even my enemy has an opportunity though he be an orthodox man. The question may seem too simple to some, even to attract serious attention. To such, if any, I would say, analyze the subject, and if simple, the easier the task. In the investigation of this weighty subject, keep the main point in close review, that the Judge of all the earth will do right, and that nothing is well pleasing to Him that violates, or is contrary to any of His attributes—"yet it pleased the Lord to bruise him."

For the Fayetteville Observer.

MESSRS. EDITORS:—There seems to be a want of understanding on the part of some of your readers, in relation to the people to whom I apply the term *orthodoxy*. To set them right on this subject, is the object of this communication. By the term